

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

JOHN FRANCIS, #02253451,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOHN DOE,

Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Case No. 6:24-cv-506-JDK-JDL

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Plaintiff John Francis, a prisoner confined within the Texas prison system proceeding pro se, filed this lawsuit against Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition of the action.

On February 28, 2025, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's complaint be dismissed without prejudice as frivolous and for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Docket No. 7. A copy of the Report was sent to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff acknowledged receipt on March 11, 2025. Docket No. 9. However, Plaintiff did not file objections.


This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law.

*Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not file any objections. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews the legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 7) as the findings of this Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice as frivolous and for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. Any motions pending in this case are **DENIED** as moot.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this 16th day of **April, 2025**.

  
JEREMY D. KERNODLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE